1 2 3	THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEI KENNETH L. NISSLY (SBN 77589) kennissly@th SUSAN van KEULEN (SBN 136060) svankeulen@ GEOFFREY H. YOST (SBN 159687) gyost@theler	elen.com thelen.com	
4	225 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1200 San Jose, California 95113		
5	Telephone: (408) 292-5800; Facsimile: (408) 2	87-8040	
6	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP KENNETH R. O'ROURKE (SBN 120144) korour.	ke@omm.com	
7	WALLACE A. ALLAN (SBN 102054) tallan@omm.com 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000; Facsimile: (213) 430-6407		
8			
10	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREV THEODORE G. BROWN, III (SBN 114672) tgbro		
11	JORDAN TRENT JONES (SBN 166600) jtjones@townsend.com 379 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301		
12			
13	Telephone: (650) 326-2400; Facsimile: (650) 326-2422  *E-FILED - 5/21/08*		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC., HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD., and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR DEUTSCHLAND GmbH		
15 16			
17	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
20			
21	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC. et al.,	Case No. CV 00-20905 RMW	
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [RROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS	
23	v.	FOR OPPOSITION AND REPLY TO REQUEST OF RAMBUS FOR	
24	RAMBUS INC.,	ADDITIONAL DAMAGES AND	
25	Defendant.	EQUITABLE RELIEF	
26		Date: June 24, 2008 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
27		Courtroom: 6 Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte	
28		-	

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, Hynix Semiconductor Inc., Hynix Semiconductor	
2	America Inc., Hynix Semiconductor Manufacturing America Inc., Hynix Semiconductor U.K.	
3	L.T.D., and Hynix Semiconductor Deutschland GmbH (collectively "Hynix") and Rambus Inc.	
4	("Rambus") by and through their respective attorneys of record (collectively the "Parties")	
5	respectfully submit the following stipulation for the Court's consideration.	
6	STIPULATION	
7	WHEREAS Rambus filed a Request for Additional Damages and Equitable Relief on May	
8	9, 2008 ("Rambus's Request");	
9	WHEREAS Hynix seeks an additional ten (10) pages for its Opposition to Rambus's	
10	Request based on the seriousness of the relief requested, the complexity of the issues raised by	
11	recent developments in the law of injunctions, and the need to present to the Court arguments in	
12	support of alternative relief;	
13	WHEREAS Rambus seeks an additional ten (10) pages for its Reply based on the	
14	anticipated length of Hynix's opposition;	
15	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that, subject to the Court's	
16	approval, Hynix shall have an additional ten (10) pages for its Opposition to Rambus's Request	
17	and Rambus shall have an additional ten (10) pages for its Reply.	
18		
19		Respectfully submitted,
20	Dated: May 16, 2008	By: /s/ Susan van Keulen Susan van Keulen
21		KENNETH L. NISSLY
22		SUSAN van KEULEN GEOFFREY H. YOST
23		THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP
24		KENNETH R. O'ROURKE
25		WALLACE A. ALLAN BELINDA M. VEGA
26		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
27		
28		

Case 5:00-cv-20905-RMW Document 3707 Filed 05/21/08 Page 3 of 3

-2-